UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/742,151	12/19/2003	Daryl Carvis Cromer	RPS920030194US1	6014	
PATENTS ON DEMAND, P.A. IBM-RSW 4581 WESTON ROAD SUITE 345 WESTON, FL 33331			EXAMINER		
			TANG, KAREN C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2447		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/03/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

brian.buchheit@patentsondemand.com docketing1@patentsondemand.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Oursement	10/742,151	CROMER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KAREN C. TANG	2447	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wi - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expensive Processing Pr	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-19 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) \square objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application for a list of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/742,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 2447

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.1 14, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.1 14. Applicant's submission filed on 6/17/2010 has been entered.

- Claims 1-8, 10-19 are presented for further examination.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1-8, 10-19 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

However, there are few issues that must be noted and are presented as follow:

On 6/17/2010, Pg 10 of the Response Argument, Applicant presented the US Patent NO 6,381,636 (Pg 2, Lines 16) showing that NIC which is capable of operating and response to asset request while its host is powered down.

In another word, Applicant has submitted that "NIC which is capable of operating and response to asset request while its host is powered down." it is well know feature.

However, On 7/16/2009, Pg 11 of the Response Argument, Applicant's has admitted that it is well known for mobile system to wake up periodically and poll the access point for incoming request.

Page 3

"It is known that network mobile system can operated in a power save mode where they go into a low power state and periodically wake up to see if there is any incoming information from an associated access point as described by the various IEEE 802.11 family of the specification"

And Furthermore, On 7/16/2009, Pg 12 of the Response Argument, Applicant states that the mobile system must be taking as a whole from the outside perspective.

"..From outside the mobile system, when taken as a whole, it is the mobile system that is responding, by virtue of periodically powered up the wireless network adaptor.."

Therefore, it is concluded that Applicant has submitted that:

1) It is well know for the mobile system and its NIC to periodically wake from power down state and to poll access point to see if there is any incoming information; and 20 it is well known that NIC is "capable' to operating and response while its host (i.e., mobile system) is powered down.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

Art Unit: 2447

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10-17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cromer et al hereinafter Cromer (US 6,381,636) in view of Rajamani et al hereinafter Rajamani in further view of Beach (US 6,067,297).

1. Referring to Claims 1 and 3, Cromer discloses a data processing network configuration comprising:

an access point configured to receive an asset information request to retrieve asset information from a mobile system associated with the access point (refer to Fig 1)

a mobile system having a central processing unit, a system memory, a wireless network adaptor having a MAC address matching the MAC address in the asset information request, and an asset information storage unit which comprises nonvolatile storage connected directly to the wireless network adaptor and which is separate from the system memory, the wireless network adaptor poll the access point to discover the stored request for asset information on the access point (refer to Col 3, Lines 56-76, Col 4, Lines 50- Col 5, Lines 35);

wherein the wireless network adaptor responds to discover of the stored asset information request by retrieving the requested asset information from the asset information storage unit and transmitting the request asset information via the wireless network adaptor to the access point (refer to Col 5, Lines 1-3 and Col 6, Lines 30-35 and Col 8, Lines 2-11); and wherein the wireless network adaptor retrieves the requested asset information from the asset information storage unit via a system management bus by a two wire serial bus, and wherein the mobile system otherwise remains in the power down state (refer to Col 5, Lines 1-3);

Although Cromer disclosed the invention substantially as claimed, Cromer did not explicitly disclosing adaptor periodically wakes powered down state.

Although Applicant has admitted the missing limitation is a well know feature, Examiner has provides reference Rajamani, in analogous art, disclosing that the adaptor periodically wakes powered down state (refer to par 0024).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Cromer with Rajamani because Rajamani's teaching would prevent important loss of data scanned during the polling of the access point.

Although Cromer and Rajamani disclosed the invention substantially as claimed, Cromer and Rajamani did not explicitly disclosing the access point stores requests separately from interface buffers of the access point.

Beach, in analogous art, discloses the access point stores requests separately from interface buffers of the access point (refer to Col 8, Lines 30-45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Cromer, Rajamani, and Beach because teaching would improve Cromer's system efficiency by establish higher power saving state within the mobile system.

2. Referring to Claims 2, Cromer, Rajamani and Beach disclosed the network of claim 1. Cromer and Beach discloses discloses wherein the access point is configured to recognize the request as a packet containing a media access control (MAC) address repeated multiple times and an appended control field. (Cromer, refer to Col 6, Lines 30, Beach, refer to Col11, Lines 40-50)"

Application/Control Number: 10/742,151 Page 6

Art Unit: 2447

3. Referring to Claim 4, Cromer, Rajamani, and Beach disclosed the network of claims 1. Beach further disclosing that wherein the access point is configured to store the pending request in a table having an entry for each mobile system associated with the access point wherein each entry in the request contains address of the corresponding mobile system's wireless network adaptor (refer to Fig 5).

- 4. Referring to Claim 5, Cromer, Rajamani, and Beach disclosed the network of claim 1. Beach further disclosing that wherein the access point store the pending request in a table having an entry for each mobile system associated with the access point wherein each entry in the request contains address of the corresponding mobile system's wireless network adaptor (refer to Fig 5 and refer to Col 8, Lines 30-45) wherein asset information from the mobile system is stored in the allocated entry associated with the mobile system (refer to Col 5, Lines 1-3 and Col 6, Lines 30-35 and Col 8, Lines 2-11).
- 5. Referring to Claim 6, Cromer, Rajamani, and Beach disclosed the network of claim 1. further disclosing that wherein the access point is to store asset information of the mobile system in the table of the access point (refer to Fig 5)"
- 6. Referring to Claim 11, Cromer, Rajamani, and Beach disclosed the computer program product of claim 10.

Art Unit: 2447

2.

Beach discloses wherein each table entry contains a MAC address of the corresponding wireless network adapter (refer to Fig 5)"

7. Referring to Claim 12, Cromer, Rajamani, and Beach disclosed the computer program product of claim 11.

Beach further discloses wherein the mobile system stores its asset information in the table and computer readable non-transitory storage medium containing code which configures the access point to store the asset information further contains code to configure the access point to, responsive to a subsequent request for the mobile system's asset information, service the request using asset information stored at the access point (refer to Col 8, Lines 30-40);

8. Referring to Claim 17. Cromer, Rajamani, and Beach disclosed the service method of Claim 16.

Cromer, wherein the mobile system contains a Management Information Format (MIF) information (refer to Col 1, Lines 63-67)."

- 9. Referring to Claims 7-10, 14, 19 and 21, the claims are rejected with similar rational as Claims 1, 3-6.
- 10. Referring to Claims 13, 15, and 16, the claims are rejection with similar rational as Claim

Art Unit: 2447

Claims 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cromer et al hereinafter Cromer (US 6,381,636) in view of Rajamani et al hereinafter Rajamani in further view of Beach (US 6,067,297) and Cheshire (US 20070038877).

11. Referring to Claim 18. Cromer, Rajamani, and Beach disclosed the service method of Claim 17. Although Cromer, Rajamani and Beach disclosed the invention substantially as claimed, did not explicitly disclosing "wherein the server request is a request for the mobile client's information and wherein the access point services the request itself if the table contains a valid copy of the mobile client's information"

Cheshire, in analogous art, disclosing "wherein the server request is a request for the mobile client's information and wherein the access point services the request itself if the table contains a valid copy of the mobile client's information (refer to par 0009)"

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Cromer, Rajamani, Beach and Cheshire because Cheshire's teaching would essentially reducing the bandwidth and provide faster response time.

Conclusion

Examiner's Notes: Examiner has cited particular Cols and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from

the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner. In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen C. Tang whose telephone number is (571)272-3116. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7 - 3.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571)272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/742,151

Page 10

Art Unit: 2447

/Karen C Tang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2447